

# CCB Meeting

## Decision Summary

Thursday, August 14, 2003  
9:00 a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

### Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Sara Silver	Receipt & Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item1
02	Sara Silver	Receipt & Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item2
03	Sara Silver	Shared Persons Module	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item3
04	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Documentation Change	High	CCB_08_14_2004_item4
05	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2004_item5
06	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item6
07	Mark Siegert	IC Operations	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item7a
08	Marie Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item7b
09	Dan Hall	Commons Modules as Specified	Maintenance Release	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item8
10	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Scope Change	Low	CCB_08_14_2003_item10
11	Amir Venegas	eRA firewall ruleset – all eRA hosts access	Remove old eRA firewall ruleset	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item11
12	Cathy Walker	Checklists	Maintenance Release	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item12
13	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item 13

### Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Sara Silver	Receipt & Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item1
	<b>Request:</b> As an unintended side-effect of screen changes related to the July release degree changes, a field on one of the data entry screens is obscured by another field label. On the 398 data entry screen (RR1000) for entry of an SBIR Fast Track or Phase II, the budget data for “Initial Direct Cost” now overlays the field label for “Direct Costs”. The label for the “Direct Costs” field will need to be moved down one line.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved				
02	Sara Silver	Receipt & Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item2
	<b>Request:</b> As an unintended side-effect of screen changes related to the July release address changes, the format mask for fax number on the 398 data entry screen is no longer working. Both phone number and fax numbers had been formatted as soon as the user pressed Enter; this now works only for phone number. This needs to be fixed.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved				
03	Sara Silver	Shared Persons Module	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item3

	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>The TA module uses the Shared Persons Module Screen COM1100 to display trainee information. The requirements for the July release specified that in all places where degree level was displayed in the TA module, special logic would be applied for fellowships to evaluate the degree level based on activity code, instead of role degree information. With the old algorithm, paybacks were being incorrectly calculated.</p> <p>This change was not applied to the Shared Persons Module, and needs to be. The requirement is that where a trainee has fellowship support of F30, F31, or F32, the degree level should be determined by evaluating the activity code. For F30s and F31s, the degree level should be “pre-doc”; for F32s, the degree level should be “post-doc”.</p> <p>A screen shot of the Persons Module has been attached.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
04	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Documentation Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item4
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>The eRA CGAP Supplementary Specifications needs to have changes made for items related to the October release. The changes are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7, Data Entities, which is blank, has been changed to say “...it will be developed for a post-pilot release.” (it had said it would be needed for Release 2).</li> <li>• Deleted section 10.1, Business Rules. This table was only in the Supp Spec for analyst reference between release 1 and release 2. The Application Validations table in the next section contains the same information.</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, added error message text to all errors that had been indicated for release 2.</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, deleted rule: “Provide warning if biosketch attached that does not correspond to a key person.”</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, moved the validation from ApplicationCategory to CategoryIdentifier</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, changed “Must be a valid NIH application number associated with this organization (element 1.2.1.5.13.1)” to “Must be a valid NIH application number existing in the database.”</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, moved validation “RevisedApplicationIntroAttachment Required if RevisionOfApplicationNumber is set” to post-pilot release</li> <li>• In Application Validations table, deleted the validation for “Accepting a duplicate submission (if identified as such by PI; the entire application will need to be submitted for revision)”. This was a policy decision, not a validation.</li> </ul> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
05	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item5
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>As part of the CGAP Receipt and Referral business rule processing, the system sends e-mail to the DRR Chief when a possible duplicate application has been identified. The definition of “duplicate” in the use case had been “applications whose Project Title is the same as another application within the same council round and for the same institution.” This has been changed to “applications where the PI’s last name is the same as another application within the same council round (whether the application was submitted electronically or on paper).”</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
06	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item6

	<p><b>Request:</b> There is currently a problem with the score mailers when a Program Official has more than one WRK address. The main query to get the address handles the situation adequately, but a sub-query to select the address does not include a provision for selecting only the WRK address that is marked as the “Preferred Address.” A correction to the sub-query will prevent the error that is now occurring and preventing the score mailers from being printed. All score mailers have not been printed since Monday August 11. The correction for this problem would require a redeployment of a server-side package and would not necessitate the release of the Peer Review client.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Item:</b> 1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time.</p>				
07	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Mark Siegert	IC Operations	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item7a
	<p><b>Request:</b> A situation has recently developed where IC’s need to change more than the last 4 digits of the CAN. ICO currently only allows the last 4 digits to be changed and therefore the Data Quality branch must make the change for each application. The discovery of this problem was too late to incorporate the change for the July/August release, and waiting until October is not an option since the bulk of the changes will occur in September with the end of fiscal year awards. Therefore an out of cycle fix is necessary to facilitate the increased activity of CAN changes that occurs at the end of the fiscal year.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Item:</b> 1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time.</p>				
08	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Marie Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item7b
	<p><b>Request:</b> The eRA CGAP Supplementary Specifications needs to have reports added for the October release. The changes are in Section 11.2 “CGAP Reports”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 11.2.1 : Activity Report gives a summary of all the activities for a particular period of time.</li> <li>• Section 11.2.2 : Activity Summary Report will display the detailed summary of the transactions occurred.</li> <li>• Section 11.2.3 : Failed Transactions Report will display the details of the transactions that failed for a particular time for the exchange partners.</li> <li>• Section 11.2.4 : Exchange Partner Transaction Report gives a summary of the transactions for each exchange partner.</li> <li>• Section 11.2.5 : Transaction Details Report will display the statuses for each specific transaction.</li> </ul> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Note:</b> 1. Items deemed important and should be made part of Fall scope list, however, they are still subject to the pending scope reduction process.</p>				
09	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Dan Hall	Commons Modules as Specified	Maintenance Release	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item8

**Request:**

We have a few bugs in Commons which we would like to have fixed prior to October and would like to plan an orderly maintenance release based upon the following schedule. These are low risk bugs that need to be fixed but the priority is not critical. However, users would prefer not to wait until the October deployment. If approved, this CCB would follow the below schedule:

- August 22 2PM – Release to Testing
- August 29 2PM – Release to Acceptance Testing
- September 5 8PM – September 8 11PM (Sometime during this period) Release to Production Commons and Production Demo Commons

This CCB specifically includes the following bugs. Bug Numbers that are not entered begin with CMN99900 series due to the Clear Quest Migration:

1. CMN99900 - AO Overrides an SO in Maintain Accounts – If an SO gives themselves AO access their privileges are removed and there is no recourse for them to reinstate their privileges.
2. CMN99901 - Non Research Institutions, Applications that have not had their IPF verified (negative IPF), and Foreign Institutions Applications/Grants (Approx. 10,000) are missing a majority of their data in Status.
3. CMN99902 – When an Account is deleted, the requirement is that the Persons\_T record is also deleted, however, there is a Matched\_Profiles\_t constraint preventing this from being successful.
4. CMN99903 – eSNAP Tracking and Inclusion save fails if Enrollment Status is Pending. Must change this to be Open on Save.
5. CMN99904 – eSNAP double counts Final enrollment if it exists. Needs to include on Interim Enrollment values on display.
6. CMN99905 – eSNAP does not display warning message if totals are the same as the year before.
7. CMN99906 – Maintain Profiles Collapse fails if existing profile contains PERSON\_RACES\_T.
8. CMN99907 – PPF Link incorrectly displaying data when user selects profile then role record in Maintain Profiles Detail View.
9. CMN99908 – Cannot collapse Perm into Prov Profiles in Maintain Profiles.
10. CMN99909 – SuperUser prompts that user is active when they just closed their browser. In such cases their account is Active forever. This needs to be redesigned to fix. Can change the requirement to state if the above and last logged in greater than 1 hour bypass prompt.
11. CMN6251 – UPSR moves target role to profile and a Commons System Error Message occurs.
12. CMN6278 – Incorrect SO displayed on Screen
13. CMN6396 – Sorting Errors on Maintain Profiles Summary View for Name and Institution.
14. CMN6212 – AA Role is not incorrect. It is not broken.
15. CMN6217 – Null in Subject field for Registration Approval.
16. CMN6522 – Cannot Search Profiles via email or Person\_Id in Search Profiles
17. CMN6128 – No Screen ID on Residential Address.

**Decision:** Approved

**Notes:**

1. 80 hours of work approved; list is in priority order (swap 1&2); what does not get done in 80 hours will have to come back to CCB
2. No DB or framework changes approved

**Actions Items:**

1. (Mike Wilson) Verify time estimates.
2. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for maintenance release.

10	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Scope Change	Low	CCB_08_14_2003_item10

	<p><b>Request:</b> The group advocate has identified that the addition of Indian Health Service to participate in iEdison must occur by the October release. This is needed because of a performance agreement made which requires that a certain number of new agencies will be brought on board to use iEdison.</p> <p>Note: That this change is required for the iEdison II web site as well as legacy applications (PowerBuilder, ERL, IAERL, and Officer).</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
11	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Amir Venegas	eRA firewall ruleset – all eRA hosts access	Remove old eRA firewall ruleset	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_item11
	<p><b>Request:</b> We need to complete the eRA firewall ruleset cleanup started at the end of 2002. A new ruleset was created and added to the old one, with the rules placed at the beginning of the ruleset. Original plan called for removal of old ruleset entries almost immediately after the new ruleset was in place, but this got delayed partly because of CIT's request to continue reviewing the session logs. It needs to be trimmed down to only what is necessary and acceptable for security and architecture reasons. If this cleanup is not completed, we do not have an acceptable firewall configuration.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action:</b> 1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time to occur after the end of the fiscal year.</p>				
12	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Cathy Walker	Checklists	Maintenance Release	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item9
	<p><b>Request:</b> Over 30 defects were discovered in acceptance testing and pilot training for the Checklist module, which was released for pilot on August first. 16 of these defects need to be fixed in order for the pilot to continue. Below is a list of these defects and the level of effort to fix each. The total effort is 39 hours for development, 16 for integration testing and 4 hours for Operations. If approved, this CCB would follow the below schedule:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• August 22 2PM – Release to Testing</li> <li>• August 29 2PM – Release to Acceptance Testing</li> <li>• September 5 8PM – September 8 11PM (Sometime during this period) Release to Production Commons and Production Demo Commons</li> </ul> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Item:</b> 1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time.</p>				
13	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item13

**Request:**

Over 30 defects were discovered in acceptance testing and pilot training for the Checklist module, which was released for pilot on August first. 16 of these defects need to be fixed in order for the pilot to continue. Below is a list of these defects and the level of effort to fix each. The total effort is 39 hours for development, 16 for integration testing and 4 hours for Operations. If approved, this CCB would follow the below schedule:

- August 22 2PM – Release to Testing
- August 29 2PM – Release to Acceptance Testing
- September 5 8PM – September 8 11PM (Sometime during this period) Release to Production Commons and Production Demo Commons

**Decision:** Approved

**Action Item:**

1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time.